

250 Yonge Street, Suite 3300 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5B 2L7 Tel 416.603.0700 Fax 416.603.6035 24 Hour 416.420.0738 www.sherrardkuzz.com



Shana French sfrench@sherrardkuzz.com 416.603.6260

Lisa Bolton lbolton@sherrardkuzz.com 416.603.6958



Supreme Court of Canada confirms
"general problem" with substance abuse in the workplace
may justify random testing

June 2018

In June 2013, a 6-3 majority of the Supreme Court of Canada struck down as unreasonable a program of random breathalyzer alcohol testing for safety sensitive positions at Irving Pulp and Paper Ltd. (*CEP*, *Local 30 v Irving Pulp and Paper*, 2013 SCC 34 ["*Irving*"]). In summary, the Supreme Court held that a dangerous workplace was not automatic justification for random testing. Instead, testing might only be justified if an employer could show there was a "general problem with substance abuse in the workplace". The question left unanswered was: *What constitutes a general problem sufficient to justify random testing?*

In 2017, the Court of Appeal of Alberta tackled that question in the context of a random drug and alcohol testing program adopted by Suncor Energy Inc. (*Suncor Energy Inc v Unifor Local 707A*, 2017 ABCA 313). Clarifying and restating the test in *Irving*, the court confirmed two requirements: 1. the workplace must be dangerous; and 2. there must be a general problem with drug or alcohol use in that workplace. On June 14, 2018 the Supreme Court of Canada dismissed an application for leave to appeal this decision (*Unifor Local 707A v. Suncor Energy Inc*, 2018 CanLII 53457).

What happened?

Suncor's Alberta oil sands operations are, by their nature, dangerous. Heavy equipment, high voltage power lines, chemicals, radiation sources, explosives, and flammable liquids and gases are all prominent characteristics of the work environment.

For years, Suncor had concerns about the safety hazards posed by alcohol and drug use at its operations. It therefore adopted a comprehensive strategy including employee and supervisor training, post-incident and reasonable cause testing, treatment for employees with dependencies and an alcohol-free lodging policy.

Sherrard Kuzz LLP, Employment & Labour Lawyers

Supreme Court of Canada confirms "general problem" with substance abuse in the workplace may justify random testing - Current as of June 2018

Main 416.603.0700 / 24 Hour 416.420.0738 / www.sherrardkuzz.com

In June 2012, Suncor announced Canada-wide random drug and alcohol testing (breathalyzer and urinalysis) for employees in safety-sensitive positions, as well as members of the Suncor management team on site – the same testing procedures that had been used by Suncor since 2003 whenever there was a workplace incident or near miss.

Shortly after Suncor announced random testing, Unifor (the union representing some of Suncor's employees) filed a policy grievance, alleging random testing unreasonably interfered with the privacy interests of its member-employees.

The arbitration decision

In a 2-1 decision, an arbitration panel found in favour of Unifor and ordered that Suncor's random testing program not be implemented. In summary, the panel held that breathalyzer testing "effects a significant inroad" on employee privacy, and also that Suncor did not demonstrate a "significant" or "serious" alcohol problem within the bargaining unit, nor a causal connection between alcohol use and the bargaining unit's accident, injury or near miss history. The panel also criticized the inability of urinalysis to demonstrate current impairment (it may include a trace amount from several days or weeks prior).

Suncor asked the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench to judicially review the panel's decision.

Review by the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench

The Alberta Court of Queen's Bench overturned the arbitration decision, finding the panel had incorrectly applied the legal test set out in *Irving*, and failed to consider relevant evidence.

First, the court found the panel misapplied the *Irving* test by making it more difficult to meet. The Supreme Court had said random testing might be justifiable where there was evidence of a "general problem with substance abuse in the workplace". However, the arbitration panel elevated this standard by requiring evidence of a "significant" or "serious" problem. Second, the panel erred when it narrowly focussed on evidence tied directly and exclusively to Unifor's bargaining unit members, and not the workplace generally. By doing so, the panel minimized the significance of more than 2000 workplace drug and alcohol incidents which had been documented at Suncor, because the panel was unclear how many of those incidents involved bargaining unit employees.

The matter was sent back to a new arbitration panel for a fresh decision. The union appealed.

Decision of the Court of Appeal of Alberta

In September 2017, the Court of Appeal of Alberta upheld the decision of the Court of Queen's Bench to send the case back to a new arbitration panel. The Court of Appeal based its decision on the second factor addressed above – that the arbitration panel incorrectly focused on the Unifor bargaining unit when the *Irving* test required there to be a general workplace problem of drug or alcohol abuse. According to the Court of Appeal, this was an unjustifiable "arbitrary distinction" between substance abuse problems at the workplace generally and those specific to

Sherrard Kuzz LLP, Employment & Labour Lawyers

Supreme Court of Canada confirms "general problem" with substance abuse in the workplace may justify random testing - Current as of June 2018

Main 416.603.0700 / 24 Hour 416.420.0738 / www.sherrardkuzz.com

unionized employees, particularly, in this case, where unionized employees, non-unionized employees and contractors worked side-by-side in "integrated workforces at integrated job sites".

The union sought leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada. Meanwhile, in December 2017, Unifor sought and was granted an interim injunction preventing Suncor from implementing random testing until the matter was decided by the court (or another arbitration panel). This injunction was upheld by the Court of Appeal of Alberta in February of 2018.

Decision of the Supreme Court of Canada

On June 14, 2018, the Supreme Court of Canada dismissed Unifor's application for leave to appeal.

Impact on employers

By dismissing Unifor's leave application, the Supreme Court of Canada has signaled to employers the test it established in *Irving Paper* remains good law, as does the decision of the Court of Appeal of Alberta. A "general problem" with substance abuse in the workplace can be demonstrated by examining the workplace as a whole, and not a specific bargaining unit.

Now that the legal test has been clarified, it remains to be seen whether a new arbitration panel will be satisfied Suncor has met this test and can justify random testing in its workplace.

Sherrard Kuzz LLP will to follow these, and related decisions, and report back to readers. Meanwhile, for assistance addressing drug and alcohol issues in your workplace, contact a member of the Sherrard Kuzz LLP team.

Shana French and Lisa Bolton are lawyers with Sherrard Kuzz LLP, one of Canada's leading employment and labour law firms, representing management. Shana and Lisa can be reached at 416.603.0700 (Main), 416.420.0738 (24 Hour) or by visiting www.sherrardkuzz.com.

The information contained in this presentation/article is provided for general information purposes only and does not constitute legal or other professional advice, nor does accessing this information create a lawyer-client relationship. This presentation/article is current as of **June 2018** and applies only to Ontario, Canada, or such other laws of Canada as expressly indicated. Information about the law is checked for legal accuracy as at the date the presentation/article is prepared, but may become outdated as laws or policies change. For clarification or for legal or other professional assistance please contact Sherrard Kuzz LLP.







